NATURE | NEWS

European ministers back research-buddy plan

Poorer regions could team up with elite labs to win grants under Horizon 2020.

Lucas Laursen

18 December 2012

Europe's leading scientific institutions could work with less-developed regions to create a new type of research centre, suggests a proposal backed by science ministers last week.

Under the 'teaming' proposal, the partners would put forward joint business plans to bid for European Union (EU) start-up funds as part of Horizon 2020, the EU research funding programme for 2014–20, which will replace the current Seventh Framework Programme (FP7) after it expires next year. Ministers gave their backing for the general structure of Horizon 2020 last June.



Could there be an Oxford University in southern Italy? Under the new proposal, host regions would have to assure their elite partners of a safe regulatory environment.

MICHAEL KIEDYSZKO/GETTY

The less-developed host region would be expected to stump up long-term funding for the centres using either local money or other EU funds, and to enact administrative reforms if needed. The advising institution would provide management and networking expertise.

The EU Council approved its draft of the proposal on 11 December, but before the plan can go to a final vote at the European Parliament in 2013, the European Commission, Parliament and Council must all agree or 'reconcile' a draft blueprint.

"We have gotten very engaged and built great expectations for it," said Žiga Turk, Slovenia's minister for education, science and sport, at the meeting of the European Council competitiveness committee.

Slovenia is one of the poorer 'convergence' regions that qualify for financial help from the EU, and would be eligible to host a teaming centre. Like all convergence regions, it also receives separate EU structural funds to build infrastructure such as roads

Related stories

EU battles over research billions

or scientific facilities. The EU budgeted €348 billion for such spending between 2007 and 2013, but there is still a major gap in research performance between the best and worst countries, according to the European Commission's 2011 Innovation Union Scoreboard.

- Europe science ministers approve 2014–20 funding framework
- Europe's research plan starts to take shape

More related stories

Supporters say that the teaming proposal will provide incentives for spending on scientific infrastructure. But labs alone are not

enough, points out Andreu Mas-Colell, minister of economy and knowledge for the autonomous region of Catalonia in Spain, who served as the second secretary-general of the European Research Council.

"When I say science infrastructure I don't say the machines," he adds. "I mean institutions which have good governance structures, good practices" and so on.

Partner risks

The right prize from Horizon 2020 could encourage politicians to use their structural funds for research, says economist Guntram Wolff, deputy director of the think tank Bruegel in Brussels. Voters may be more likely to concede to reforms such as internationally competitive salaries if their politicians can say that the changes will bring in outside money. The key then will be to ensure that the foreign money — and governance — reaches the new labs.

"You cannot just put an Oxford in, let's say, southern Italy. That just won't work unless the city ... becomes like Oxford..." Setting up the incentives so that everyone benefits will be a challenge, says Olivier Küttel, head of European public affairs at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL), a potential advising institution. "For institutions like EPFL there is some risk. If you have your brand in the game you have to make sure things are going right."

Wolff agrees that partner institutions will need assurances that the host will provide a safe regulatory environment for the joint venture. "You cannot just put an Oxford in, let's say, southern Italy," he says. "That just won't work unless the city ... becomes like Oxford: having a proper administration, rule of law, absence of corruption."

Wish list paper

Countries that currently tend to win a high proportion of European research money may resent giving up some of it to establish centres in other nations. At the competitiveness meeting, for example, UK science minister David Willetts asked whether the teaming proposal might be "better discharged via the use of the structural funds" rather than through Horizon 2020. For 2007–13,

structural funds total more than six times the funding of FP7, but richer countries, such as the United Kingdom, benefit less from them.

Yet in September, Germany's Max Planck Society announced its support for the teaming proposal, explaining how the institutes that it set up in former East Germany after reunification have gone on to enjoy international renown. And now a group of elite European universities and research institutions is preparing a joint wish list describing its goals for teaming, says Küttel. The group aims to publish its lobbying document in time to inform the proposal-reconciliation discussions.

Mas-Colell notes that it is "very human" for insiders in a host country to want funds to benefit themselves. "But if you want to develop the research and science you have to make sure that a proportion" reaches the most excellent researchers, wherever they are from, he says.

Nature doi:10.1038/nature.2012.12080

Related stories and links

From nature.com

- EU battles over research billions
 - 12 September 2012
- Europe science ministers approve 2014–20 funding framework
 - 01 June 2012
- Europe's research plan starts to take shape

03 February 2012

From elsewhere

- European Council competitiveness meeting
- Innovation Union Scoreboard 2011

Comments

There are currently no comments.

You need to be registered with *Nature* and agree to our Community Guidelines to leave a comment. Please log in or register as a new user. You will be re-directed back to this page.

See other News & Comment articles from Nature

Nature ISSN 0028-0836 EISSN 1476-4687

© 2012 Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.

营

partner of AGORA, HINARI, OARE, INASP, CrossRef and COUNTER